

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/737,912	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KARAS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> CUONG H. NGUYEN	<b>Art Unit</b> 3661	

**All Participants:**

(1) CUONG H. NGUYEN.

(2) Morris, Manning & Martin LLP.

**Status of Application:** Non. Final Rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 29 April 2005
**Time:** 1:50 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:


N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The representative law firm was instructed by the inventors to let this case abandon.*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



CUONG NGUYEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)